

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Operate its Coastal Water Project to Resolve the Long-Term Water Supply Deficit in its Monterey District and to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Connection Therewith in Rates.

Application 04-09-019
(Filed September 20, 2004;
Amended July 14, 2005).

LATE-FILED JOINT EXHIBIT 113

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
LORI ANNE DOLQUEIST
SARAH E. LEEPER
One Embarcadero Center, 30th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 291-7400
Facsimile: (415) 291-7474
Email: sleeper@manatt.com

Attorneys for Applicant
CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER
COMPANY

DOWNEY BRAND LLP
DAN L. CARROLL
KEVIN M. O'BRIEN
MAYA R. FERRY
621 Capitol Mall 18th Floor
Sacramento, Ca 95814
Telephone: (916) 444-1000
Facsimile: (916) 444-2100
Email: dcarroll@downeybrand.com

Attorneys for MONTEREY COUNTY
WATER RESOURCES AGENCY

Dated: June 29, 2010

FRIEDMAN DUMAS & SPRINGWATER
LLP
MARK FOGELMAN
DERRICK N.D. HANSEN
STEFANIE A. ELKINS
150 Spear Street, Suite 1600
San Francisco, Ca 94105
Telephone: (415) 834-3800
Facsimile: (415) 834-1044
Email: mfogelman@friedumspring.com

Attorneys for MARINA COAST WATER
DISTRICT

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Operate its Coastal Water Project to Resolve the Long-Term Water Supply Deficit in its Monterey District and to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Connection Therewith in Rates.

Application 04-09-019
(Filed September 20, 2004;
Amended July 14, 2005).

LATE-FILED JOINT EXHIBIT 113

In accordance with the instructions of Administrative Law Judge Minkin,¹ California-American Water Company ("California American Water") submits this late-filed Joint Exhibit 113, attached hereto as Attachment 1, for submission into the evidentiary record on behalf of itself and the Monterey County Water Resources Agency ("MCWRA") and Marina Coast Water District ("MCWD").²

The attached Joint Exhibit 113 has been coordinated among all the active parties. While the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA") has reviewed the Unified Financing Model presented in Attachment 1 and agrees with the modeling, it is not sponsoring the exhibit because it does not agree with the inputs assumed by California American Water, MCWRA and MCWD. DRA agrees that the results shown in Attachment 1 are correct based upon the assumed inputs.³

¹ Reporters Transcript ("RT") 1751:8 - 1752:9.

² Counsel for California American Water signs this motion on behalf of MCWRA and MCWD pursuant to Rule 1.8(d).


³ The under-signed was informed by DRA's counsel that DRA agrees that the results of Attachment 1 are correct based upon the assumed inputs.

Joint Exhibit 113 addresses specific components related to Exhibit 110, which was a calculation of the revenue requirement associated with various scenarios. As such, this late-filed joint exhibit supersedes the version of the Unified Financing Model sponsored by California American Water as Exhibit 110. Joint Exhibit 113 also provides additional details and information related to the assumptions underlying Exhibit 110.

Dated: June 29, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

By: 
Sarah E. Leeper
Attorneys for Applicant
California-American Water Company

ATTACHMENT 1

**1st Year Plant Cost (\$/AFY)
(8,800 AFY to CAW)**

	Cost to Delivery Point	Cost of Water to CAW ¹	CAW Facilities ²	Total	1 st Year Revenue Requirement ³
Scenario 1	\$4,700	\$5,600	\$1,500	\$7,100	\$64,400,000
Scenario 2	\$3,300	\$3,900	\$1,500	\$5,400	\$49,500,000
Scenario 3	\$3,300	\$3,900	\$1,500	\$5,400	\$49,500,000
Scenario 4	\$3,200	\$3,800	\$1,500	\$5,300	\$48,600,000
Scenario 5	\$3,100	\$3,700	\$1,500	\$5,200	\$47,700,000
Scenario 6	\$2,700	\$3,200	\$1,500	\$4,700	\$43,300,000

Notes

1. Cost of Water to CAW (8,800 AFY) including the transfer cost and credit for the purchase of Salinas Basin water by the MCWD for \$148.50/AF
2. CAW Facilities costs are those presented in the Rebuttal Testimony of David P. Stephenson
3. 1st Year Revenue Requirement is calculated using the following equation:
(Cost of Water to CAW x 8,800) + (CAW Facilities x 10,100)
4. Scenarios do not include Debt Equivalence
5. The Unified Financing Model is based on a plant production of 10,350 AFY (which assumes 8,800 AFY to CAW and 1,550 to MCWD for the 15% Salinas Basin Water)
6. The Financing Cost developed with the Unified Financing Model are used as a basis for comparing different financing scenarios only
7. The Cost to Delivery Point includes approximately \$200 - \$300/AFY for the cost of water from the desalination facility to the delivery point
8. O&M, and repair and replacement cost are estimates and are subject to change
9. Scenarios are further defined in the Rebuttal testimony of Lyndel Melton
10. All \$/AFY costs have been rounded to the nearest hundred dollar
11. The Scenarios include the following inputs:

	Cost to Delivery Point					CAW Facilities		
	Project Cost (\$ millions)	PAB Interest Rate	Construction Duration (Years)	SRF Funding (\$ millions)	Grants (\$ millions)	Project Costs	LT Debt Interest Rate	CAW ROR
#1	\$297.5	6%	4.5	-	-	\$107	6.6%	8.4%
#2	\$249.4	6%	3.5	\$182	-	\$107	6.6%	8.4%
#3	\$249.4	6%	3.5	\$225	-	\$107	6.6%	8.4%
#4	\$249.4	4.79%	3.5	\$225	-	\$107	6.6%	8.4%
#5	\$227.3	6%	3.5	\$203	-	\$107	6.6%	8.4%
#6	\$227.3	6%	3.5	\$170	\$57	\$107	6.6%	8.4%

Alternate Financing Cost Estimates (\$/AFY)

	Cost to Delivery Point	Cost of Water to CAW ²	CAW Facilities ³	Total	1 st Year Revenue Requirement ^{4,5}
Scenario A ¹	\$6,800 ⁶	\$8,000	\$1,600	\$9,600	\$86,600,000
Scenario B	\$3,400 ⁶	\$4,000	\$1,500	\$5,500	\$50,400,000
Scenario C	\$2,600 ⁷	\$2,600	\$1,500	\$4,100	\$38,000,000

Notes:

1. Scenario A assumes the following:
 - a. A debt cost for all Long-Term ("LT") Debt of 8.67%
 - b. The LT Debt of 8.67% as part of the CAW rate of return for CAW Facilities as defined in James Kalinovich's testimony
2. Cost of Water to CAW (8,800 AFY) including the transfer cost and credit for the purchase of Salinas Basin water by the MCWD for \$148.50/AF
3. CAW Facilities costs are those presented in the Rebuttal Testimony of David P. Stephenson
4. Scenario A and B 1st Year Revenue Requirement is calculated using the following equation:
(Cost of Water to CAW x 8,800) + (CAW Facilities x 10,100)
5. Scenario C 1st Year Revenue Requirement is calculated using the following equation:
(Cost of Water to CAW x 8,800) + (CAW Facilities x 10,100)
6. Scenario A and B Cost to Delivery Point Assumes a project cost of \$297.5 million as defined in the WPA
7. Scenario C assumes a Project cost of \$227 million less \$22 million from the MCWD buy-in from fees.
8. The Unified Financing Model for Scenario A and B is based on a plant production of 10,350 AFY (which assumes 8,800 AFY to CAW and 1,550 to MCWD for the 15% Salinas Basin Water)
9. Scenarios do not include Debt Equivalence
10. Scenario C assumes MCWD is taking permanently allocated water
11. Scenario C assumes grants at 25% of remaining capital cost
12. The Cost to Delivery Point includes approximately \$200 - \$300/AFY for the cost of water from the desalination facility to the delivery point
13. O&M, and repair and replacement cost are estimates and are subject to change
14. The Financing Cost developed with the Unified Financing Model are used as a basis for comparing different financing scenarios only
15. All \$/AFY costs have been rounded to the nearest hundred dollar
16. The Scenarios include the following inputs:

	Cost to Delivery Point					CAW Facilities		
	Project Cost (\$ millions)	PAB Interest Rate	Construction Duration (Years)	SRF Funding (\$ millions)	Grants (\$ millions)	Project Costs (\$ millions)	LT Debt Interest Rate	CAW After Tax ROR
A	\$297.5	8.67%	4.5	-	-	\$107	8.67%	9.44%
B	\$297.5	6%	3.5	\$217.5	\$80	\$107	6.0%	8.1%
C	\$204.3	5%	3.5	\$154.4	\$50	\$107	6.0%	8.1%

Unified Financing Model Scenario Inputs

Scenario 1

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (assumes all slant wells)

Financing Assumptions: One PAB @ 6%

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*									
5/19/2010									
Plant Cap	\$ 297,500,000	Grants	\$ -	Uncollectibles	0.5%	CAW Facilities	\$ 107,000,000	1st Year*	
				O&M (2010 \$'s)	\$ 11,600,000	CAW O&M	\$ (1,450,000)	Plant Cost	\$ 4,700
				Production-AFY	10,350	CAW Debt	6.60%	Cost to CAW	\$ 5,600
				Basin %	15.0%	CAW R.O.R.	8.40%	Transfer Cost	\$ 900
				MCWD Price	\$ 148.50	CAW AFUDC	2.50%	CAW Facilities	\$ 1,500
				Inflation	4%	Net-To-Gross	1.67	CAW Cost*	\$ 7,100
				Depreciation	2.50%	Property Tax %	1.33%	1st Year Rev Req	\$ 64,400,000
				Effective Tax	40.00%	Revenue Growth	9%	30-Year AVG*	\$ 7,800
				Surcharge #2	NO	UPIS Transfer	100%	Equity Rate	10.20%
				Annual AL	YES	Debt %	50%	Pre-Close Dep%	100%
Rate	6.00%	Reserve Iteration*	45%						
Issuance #1	6.00%								
Issuance #2	0.00%								
Issuance #3	0.00%								
Reserve Interest	0.50%	Total Years							
Coverage	1.00	of Construction	4.5						

Scenario 2

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (Estimated Project Facilities Costs +\$9million for reserve fund payment and cost of obtaining indebtedness)

Financing Assumptions: PAB @ 6% and SRF

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*									
5/19/2010									
Plant Cap	\$ 249,400,000	Grants	\$ -	Uncollectibles	0.5%	CAW Facilities	\$ 107,000,000	1st Year*	
				O&M (2010 \$'s)	\$ 11,600,000	CAW O&M	\$ (1,450,000)	Plant Cost	\$ 3,300
				Production-AFY	10,350	CAW Debt	6.60%	Cost to CAW	\$ 3,900
				Basin %	15.0%	CAW R.O.R.	8.40%	Transfer Cost	\$ 600
				MCWD Price	\$ 148.50	CAW AFUDC	2.50%	CAW Facilities	\$ 1,500
				Inflation	4%	Net-To-Gross	1.67	CAW Cost*	\$ 5,400
				Depreciation	2.50%	Property Tax %	1.33%	1st Year Rev Req	\$ 49,500,000
				Effective Tax	40.00%	Revenue Growth	9%	30-Year AVG*	\$ 5,600
				Surcharge #2	NO	UPIS Transfer	100%	Equity Rate	10.20%
				Annual AL	YES	Debt %	50%	Pre-Close Dep%	100%
Rate	6.00%	Reserve Iteration*	12%						
Issuance #1	6.00%								
Issuance #2	6.00%								
Issuance #3	0.00%								
Reserve Interest	0.50%	Total Years							
Coverage	1.00	of Construction	3.5						

Scenario 3

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (Estimated Project Facilities Costs +\$9million for reserve fund payment and cost of obtaining indebtedness)

Financing Assumptions: PAB @ 6% and SRF (with no annual limit)

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*									
5/19/2010									
Plant Cap	\$ 249,400,000	Grants	\$ -	Uncollectibles	0.5%	CAW Facilities	\$ 107,000,000	1st Year*	
				O&M (2010 \$'s)	\$ 11,600,000	CAW O&M	\$ (1,450,000)	Plant Cost	\$ 3,300
				Production-AFY	10,350	CAW Debt	6.60%	Cost to CAW	\$ 3,900
				Basin %	15.0%	CAW R.O.R.	8.40%	Transfer Cost	\$ 600
				MCWD Price	\$ 148.50	CAW AFUDC	2.50%	CAW Facilities	\$ 1,500
				Inflation	4%	Net-To-Gross	1.67	CAW Cost*	\$ 5,400
				Depreciation	2.50%	Property Tax %	1.33%	1st Year Rev Req	\$ 49,500,000
				Effective Tax	40.00%	Revenue Growth	9%	30-Year AVG*	\$ 5,500
				Surcharge #2	NO	UPIS Transfer	100%	Equity Rate	10.20%
				Annual AL	YES	Debt %	50%	Pre-Close Dep%	100%
Rate	6.00%	Reserve Iteration*	12%						
Issuance #1	6.00%								
Issuance #2	6.00%								
Issuance #3	0.00%								
Reserve Interest	0.50%	Total Years							
Coverage	1.00	of Construction	3.5						

Scenario 4

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (Estimated Project Facilities Costs +\$9million for reserve fund payment and cost of obtaining indebtedness)

Financing Assumptions: PAB @ 4.79% and SRF (with no annual limit)

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*									
5/19/2010									
Plant Cap	\$ 249,400,000	Grants	\$ -	Uncollectibles	0.5%	CAW Facilities	\$ 107,000,000	1st Year*	
				O&M (2010 \$'s)	\$ 11,600,000	CAW O&M	\$ (1,450,000)	Plant Cost	\$ 3,200
				Production-AFY	10,350	CAW Debt	6.60%	Cost to CAW	\$ 3,800
				Basin %	15.0%	CAW R.O.R.	8.40%	Transfer Cost	\$ 600
				MCWD Price	\$ 148.50	CAW AFUDC	2.50%	CAW Facilities	\$ 1,500
				Inflation	4%	Net-To-Gross	1.67	CAW Cost*	\$ 5,300
				Depreciation	2.50%	Property Tax %	1.33%	1st Year Rev Req	\$ 48,600,000
				Effective Tax	40.00%	Revenue Growth	9%	30-Year AVG*	\$ 5,400
				Surcharge #2	NO	UPIS Transfer	100%	Equity Rate	10.20%
				Annual AL	YES	Debt %	50%	Pre-Close Dep%	100%
Rate	4.79%	Reserve Iteration*	9%						
Issuance #1	4.79%								
Issuance #2	4.79%								
Issuance #3	0.00%								
Reserve Interest	0.50%	Total Years							
Coverage	1.00	of Construction	3.5						

Scenario 5

Cost Assumptions: Project Cost as defined as the Regional Project in the April 15, 2010 cost comparison figures (excluding the \$22 million contribution from the MCWD)

Financing Assumptions: PAB @ 6% and SRF (with no annual limit)

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*

5/19/2010		Plant Cap \$ 227,300,000		Grants \$ -		Uncollectibles 0.5%		CAW Facilities \$ 107,000,000		1st Year*	
						O&M (2010 \$'s) \$ 11,600,000		CAW O&M \$ (1,450,000)		Plant Cost \$ 3,100	
						Production-AFY 10,350		CAW Debt 6.60%		Cost to CAW \$ 3,700	
		Rate		Reserve Iteration		Basin % 15.0%		CAW R.O.R. 8.40%		Transfer Cost \$ 600	
				SRF (\$'s)		MCWD Price \$ 148.50		CAW AFUDC 2.50%		CAW Facilities \$ 1,500	
Issuance #1		6.00%		12%		Inflation 4%		Net-To-Gross 1.67		CAW Cost* \$ 5,200	
Issuance #2		6.00%		7%		Depreciation 2.50%		Property Tax % 1.33%		1st Year Rev Req \$ 47,700,000	
Issuance #3		0.00%		0%		Effective Tax 40.00%		Revenue Growth 9%		30-Year AVG* \$ 5,400	
Reserve Interest		0.50%		Total Years		Surcharge #2 NO		UPIS Transfer 100%		Equity Rate 10.20%	
Coverage		1.00		of Construction 3.5		Annual AL YES		Debt % 50%		Pre-Close Dep% 100%	

Scenario A

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (assumes all slant wells)

Financing Assumptions: 1 PAB issuance @ 8.67%

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*

5/19/2010		Plant Cap \$ 297,500,000		Grants \$ -		Uncollectibles 0.5%		CAW Facilities \$ 107,000,000		1st Year*	
						O&M (2010 \$'s) \$ 11,600,000		CAW O&M \$ (1,450,000)		Plant Cost \$ 6,800	
						Production-AFY 10,350		CAW Debt 8.67%		Cost to CAW \$ 8,000	
		Rate		Reserve Iteration		Basin % 15.0%		CAW R.O.R. 9.44%		Transfer Cost \$ 1,200	
				SRF (\$'s)		MCWD Price \$ 148.50		CAW AFUDC 2.50%		CAW Facilities \$ 1,600	
Issuance #1		8.67%		83%		Inflation 4%		Net-To-Gross 1.67		CAW Cost* \$ 9,600	
Issuance #2		0.00%		0%		Depreciation 2.50%		Property Tax % 1.33%		1st Year Rev Req \$ 86,600,000	
Issuance #3		0.00%		0%		Effective Tax 40.00%		Revenue Growth 9%		30-Year AVG* \$ 10,300	
Reserve Interest		0.50%		Total Years		Surcharge #2 NO		UPIS Transfer 100%		Equity Rate 10.20%	
Coverage		1.00		of Construction 4.5		Annual AL YES		Debt % 50%		Pre-Close Dep% 100%	

Scenario B

Cost Assumptions: Exhibit C cost (assumes all slant wells)

Financing Assumptions: Grant and SRF Funding with initial PAB @ 6%

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*

5/19/2010		Plant Cap \$ 297,500,000		Grants \$ 80,000,000		Uncollectibles 0.5%		CAW Facilities \$ 107,000,000		1st Year*	
						O&M (2010 \$'s) \$ 11,600,000		CAW O&M \$ (1,450,000)		Plant Cost \$ 3,400	
						Production-AFY 10,350		CAW Debt 6.60%		Cost to CAW \$ 4,000	
		Rate		Reserve Iteration		Basin % 15.0%		CAW R.O.R. 8.40%		Transfer Cost \$ 600	
				SRF (\$'s)		MCWD Price \$ 148.50		CAW AFUDC 2.50%		CAW Facilities \$ 1,500	
Issuance #1		6.00%		12%		Inflation 4%		Net-To-Gross 1.67		CAW Cost* \$ 5,500	
Issuance #2		6.00%		11%		Depreciation 2.50%		Property Tax % 1.33%		1st Year Rev Req \$ 50,400,000	
Issuance #3		0.00%		0%		Effective Tax 40.00%		Revenue Growth 9%		30-Year AVG* \$ 5,600	
Reserve Interest		0.50%		Total Years		Surcharge #2 NO		UPIS Transfer 100%		Equity Rate 10.20%	
Coverage		1.00		of Construction 3.5		Annual AL YES		Debt % 50%		Pre-Close Dep% 100%	

Scenario C

Cost Assumptions: Project cost of \$227 million less \$22 million from the MCWD buy-in

Financing Assumptions: \$50 million in Grants and \$154.4 million in SRF

Unified Financing Model - Regional Project*

5/19/2010		Plant Cap \$ 204,300,000		Grants \$ 50,000,000		Uncollectibles 0.5%		CAW Facilities \$ 107,000,000		1st Year*	
						O&M (2010 \$'s) \$ 11,700,000		CAW O&M \$ (1,450,000)		Plant Cost \$ 2,600	
						Production-AFY 10,500		CAW Debt 6.60%		Cost to CAW \$ 2,600	
		Rate		Reserve Iteration		Basin % 16.2%		CAW R.O.R. 8.40%		Transfer Cost \$ -	
				SRF (\$'s)		MCWD Price \$ -		CAW AFUDC 2.50%		CAW Facilities \$ 1,500	
Issuance #1		5.00%		10%		Inflation 4%		Net-To-Gross 1.67		CAW Cost* \$ 4,100	
Issuance #2		5.00%		10%		Depreciation 2.50%		Property Tax % 1.33%		1st Year Rev Req \$ 38,000,000	
Issuance #3		5.00%		7%		Effective Tax 40.00%		Revenue Growth 9%		30-Year AVG* \$ 5,000	
Reserve Interest		0.50%		Total Years		Surcharge #2 NO		UPIS Transfer 100%		Equity Rate 10.20%	
Coverage		1.00		of Construction 3.5		Annual AL YES		Debt % 50%		Pre-Close Dep% 100%	

The models do not consider the magnitude or likelihood of any cost associated with debt equivalence, which Settling Parties have agreed to address in a separate proceeding.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Maria M. Domingo, declare as follows:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action. My business address is MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP, One Embarcadero Center, 30th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-3719. On June 29, 2010, I served the within:

LATE-FILED JOINT EXHIBIT 113

on the interested parties in this action addressed as follows:

See attached service list.



(BY PUC E-MAIL SERVICE) By transmitting such document electronically from Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, San Francisco, California, to the electronic mail addresses listed above. I am readily familiar with the practice of Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP for transmitting documents by electronic mail, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, such electronic mail is transmitted immediately after such document has been tendered for filing. Said practice also complies with Rule 1.10(b) of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all protocols described therein.



(BY U.S. MAIL) By placing such document(s) in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid for first class mail, for collection and mailing at Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, San Francisco, California following ordinary business practice. I am readily familiar with the practice at Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, correspondence is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 29, 2010, at San Francisco, California.



Maria M. Domingo

PUC E-Mail Service List

A.04-09-019

[Updated June 24, 2010]

venskus@lawsv.com
rmcglathlin@bhfs.com
georgeriley@hotmail.com
dave@laredolaw.net
mlm@cpuc.ca.gov
mfogelman@friedumspring.com
sleeper@manatt.com
nelsonp34@hotmail.com
dcarroll@downeybrand.com
steller@rtmmlaw.com
jgeever@surfrider.org
connere@west.net
carrie.gleeson@amwater.com
robert.maclean@amwater.com
tim.miller@amwater.com
tmontgomery@rbf.com
Gregory.Wilkinson@bbklaw.com
jason.Ackerman@bbklaw.com
lflowrey@nheh.com
ffarina@cox.net
weeksc@co.monterey.ca.us
stecllns@aol.com
nisakson@mbay.net
Glen.Stransky@LosLaurelesHOA.com
bobmac@qwest.net
jim@mcwd.org
manuelfierro02@yahoo.com
erickson@stamlaw.us
bobh@mrwpca.com
catherine.bowie@amwater.com
john.klein@amwater.com
andy@mpwmd.dst.ca.us
darby@mpwmd.dst.ca.us
heidi@laredolaw.net
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
James.M.Reilly@amwater.com
ezigas@esassoc.com
dhansen@friedumspring.com
selkins@friedumspring.com
lweiss@manatt.com
ldolqueist@manatt.com
cem@newsdata.com
michael@rri.org
Audra.Hartmann@Dynegy.com
lmetton@rmcwater.com

scorbin@surfrider.org
swilliams@poseidon1.com
joyce.ambrosius@noaa.gov
kobrien@downeybrand.com
abl@bkslawfirm.com
dstephens@amwater.com
bca@cpuc.ca.gov
ang@cpuc.ca.gov
cjt@cpuc.ca.gov
dsb@cpuc.ca.gov
jzr@cpuc.ca.gov
jws@cpuc.ca.gov
llk@cpuc.ca.gov
mzx@cpuc.ca.gov
mml@cpuc.ca.gov
nks@cpuc.ca.gov
pva@cpuc.ca.gov
rkk@cpuc.ca.gov
rra@cpuc.ca.gov
steve@seacompany.org

U.S. Mail Service List

A.04-09-019

[Updated June 24, 2010]

Laura L. Krannawitter
California Public Utilities Commission
Executive Division
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5303
San Francisco, CA 94102-321

Commissioner John A. Bohn
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5303
San Francisco, CA 94102-3214

ALJ Angela K. Minkin
California Public Utilities Commission
Division Of Administrative Law Judges
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5105
San Francisco, CA 94102-3214

Stephen St. Marie
California Public Utilities Commission
Executive Division
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5303
San Francisco, CA 94102-3214